

May 2022

IMPACT OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY PRACTICES ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM SELECTED MENA REGION COMMERCIAL BANKS

Rasha Mohamad Mahboub

Assistant Professor, Faculty of Business Administration, Accounting Department, Beirut Arab University, Beirut, Lebanon, r.mahboub@bau.edu.lb

Lynn Imad Fawaz

Maser of Business Administration, Faculty of Business Administration, Beirut Arab University, Beirut, Lebanon, lynn.fawaz2015@hotmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.bau.edu.lb/csdjournal>



Part of the [Accounting Commons](#)

Corporate Social Responsibility, Financial Performance, Banks, MENA Region.

Recommended Citation

Mahboub, Rasha Mohamad and Fawaz, Lynn Imad (2022) "IMPACT OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY PRACTICES ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM SELECTED MENA REGION COMMERCIAL BANKS," *BAU Journal - Creative Sustainable Development*. Vol. 3: Iss. 2, Article 3.
DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.54729/MOCW5159>
Available at: <https://digitalcommons.bau.edu.lb/csdjournal/vol3/iss2/3>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons @ BAU. It has been accepted for inclusion in BAU Journal - Creative Sustainable Development by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ BAU. For more information, please contact ibtihal@bau.edu.lb.

IMPACT OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY PRACTICES ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM SELECTED MENA REGION COMMERCIAL BANKS

Abstract

Nowadays, corporations are being held accountable for their actions that affect their surroundings. Thus, corporate social responsibility (CSR) has been integrated in their business models, which can impact their financial performances (FP). Previous researches regarding the relationship between CSR and FP have yielded mixed results. Thus, this research aims at identifying the impact of CSR practices in the environment (ENV), human resources (HR), products and consumers (PC) and community involvement (CI) on FP of 81 commercial banks operating in selected MENA countries for the year 2018. Data were gathered from CSR report and annual report for each bank. The multiple regression analysis reveals that there is a positive significant relationship between CSR practices in HR and PC and FP of MENA banks. However, there is a non-significant relationship between CSR practices in the ENV and CI and FP of these banks. Thus, MENA banks are encouraged to engage in CSR practices revolving around HR and PC in order to enhance their FP.

Keywords

Corporate Social Responsibility, Financial Performance, Banks, MENA Region.

1. INTRODUCTION

According to Cuesta-González et al. (2006), Lauesen (2013), Bagmet (2015) and Carè (2018), the banking sector's importance and influence over their surrounding communities have been increasing over the years. After the occurrence of several financial crises in the banking sector world-wide (i.e. the economic and financial crisis in 2008), new policies, rules and regulations were introduced and implemented in companies' corporate models (Hurley et al., 2014; Esteban-Sanchez et al., 2017). After uncovering various corporate frauds (i.e. WorldCom and Enron), and several scandals in banks (i.e. Citigroup and Lehman Brothers), the interest around CSR has increased and became vital for banks (Grove and Bailico, 2011; Li et al., 2019; Bian et al., 2021). Therefore, the public has demanded banks for more transparency regarding their business processes by disclosing and publishing every single activity they engage in. MENA banks are no exception in this case.

The concept and definition behind CSR are still not agreed upon (Matuszaka and Różańska, 2017). The European Commission (2001) describes CSR as the notion to incorporate social and ecological concerns into the core corporate activities of firms, as well as their relationship between these organizations and their stakeholders on the discretionary premise. Carroll (1983) defines CSR as the business behavior that is economically beneficial, lawful, moral, and socially understanding. Brown and Dacin (1997) define CSR as the firm's actions obliged by laws and regulations that assist the society and those that surpass the self-interest profit goal of a firm.

Research results show that CSR is able to increase productivity of workers, conscription and retention (Greening and Turban, 2000), strengthens individual's readiness to own shares in a company (Sen et al., 2006), builds a positive company image (Brammer and Pavelin, 2006), and enhances the branding and positioning of the company (Lindgreen and Swaen, 2010). Previous research identified four pillars involving CSR: the economic, legal, ethical and philanthropic ones.

Banks engaging in socially non-responsible activities suffer the loss of trust between them and their customers, as these actions can be deemed as harmful for their employees and consumer's perceptions of the bank alike (Hurley et al., 2014). Nonetheless, banks were the initial organizations to adopt and incorporate CSR activities to its core practices, albeit to save their reputations and images (Soana, 2011), or/and to accommodate to their stakeholder's demands and expectations while simultaneously valuing the economic, legal, moral and altruistic areas of the business (Caruana and Chatzidakis, 2014).

Thus, Sweeney (2009) depicts that CSR has become an essential concept for investigation among scholars, as world-wide attention about the detrimental social and environmental effects of organizations has increased (Fontaine, 2013). Thus, CSR is a hot topic these days to businesses and accounting. Furthermore, CSR and its impact on FP of corporations have been examined in various industries, including-but not limited to- tourism related industries (Inoue and Lee, 2011; Theodoulidis et al., 2017; Moneva et al., 2020), hospitality industry (Rhou et al., 2016; Uyar et al., 2020), retail industry (Lee et al., 2018; Nyame-Asiamah and Ghulam, 2019), healthcare industry (Allard, 2018; Hwang and Chung, 2018; Sinthupundaja et al., 2020), manufacturing industry (Torugsa et al., 2012; Cho et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020) and the technology sector (Okafor, 2021).

Wu and Shen (2013) and Kiliç et al. (2015) mention that examinations done on the relationship between CSR and FP in banks are scarce, although it was one of the earliest sectors to integrate CSR into their business models (Scholtens, 2009; Soana, 2011). Furthermore, AlShehhi et al (2018) claim that studies regarding the relationship between CSR and FP in developing countries are still limited. Similarly, Tawfik et al. (2021) assert that the studies examining the relationship between CSR and FP of banks in Arab countries is scarce. Thus, this research aims to fill this gap in the existing literature. Therefore, the objective of this research is to investigate the effect of CSR practices on the FP of banks operating in the MENA region. This research contributes by investigating the CSR-FP relationship in the MENA region banking sector, and it dives deep into the different aspects of CSR by dividing it into four different sections instead of studying it as a whole (the environmental, human resources, community engagement and products and consumers aspects). The MENA region banking sector is an interesting one because it is the most dominant in the MENA region financial system, and it is considered to be essential for their economic growths (Azmeah, 2018).

The rest of this research is arranged as follows: the second section presents a theoretical framework for CSR. The third section offers a brief review of the existing literature and hypotheses development. The fourth section presents the research methodology. The fifth section presents the interpretation and analysis of the findings. The sixth section summarizes conclusions, limitations and suggestions for future research. The last two sections represent the theoretical and practical implications, respectively.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Corporate social performance (CSP), stakeholder and agency theory recognize the positive impact that the CSR has over the organizational FP (Aupperle et al., 1985; Sarwar et al., 2012; Servaes and Tamayo, 2013; Moura-Leite et al., 2014; Saeidi, et al., 2015; Flammer, 2015; Nollet et al., 2016).

CSP is characterized as the arrangement of social responsibility in organizations, along with the rules, programs and results that display the organization's relationship with its community (Wood, 1991). This theory states that corporations hold responsibility towards the social issues, especially those that emerged from businesses themselves. Thus, it depicts that corporations have a greater responsibility than that of wealth creation and profit maximization.

Moreover, the term 'corporate citizenship' emerged in the 1980's by practitioners Altman and Vidaver-Cohen (2000) and Windsor (2001). Carroll (1991) stated that for corporates to be considered 'good corporate citizens' they would have to participate in actions that enhance human well-being, benevolence, and philanthropy. Thus, to be considered as good corporate citizens, corporations should take part in social deeds that the law does not mandate. Birch (2002) declared that CSR considers social responsibility as an external issue, while corporate citizenship considers corporation as part of the community itself.

The stakeholder's theory sees that corporations' purpose is to coordinate the interests of stakeholders (Evan and Freeman, 1988), because it considers that the organization's value and overall performance can be pinpointed by the actions taken by the firm regarding the expectations and interests of its stakeholders (Freeman, 1984). Freeman (1984) realizes a positive association between CSP and organizational FP when the organization's interests, goals and expectations are aligned with those of the stakeholders, thus reducing agency conflict. Improving the conditions surrounding the internal stakeholders of a firm can significantly impact their loyalty and productivity, thus leading to achieving better FP (Huselid, 1995; Frank and Obloj, 2014). As for the external stakeholders, this strategy would not directly affect the profitability of the company. However, it can positively impact the satisfaction of the customers and its overall image, which can lead to the improvement of the intangible stock market value and firm's future (Luo and Bhattacharya, 2006; Kang et al., 2010; Singal, 2014).

In contrast, Milton Friedman (1970) introduced the shareholder value theory, which recognizes a negative influence of CSR on FP. It argues that the primary goal of any organization should be profit maximization and growing shareholder and economic value. Friedman (1970) believes that CSR consumes and depletes the organization's scarce resources without giving considerable return to the organization. This viewpoint concludes that social activities come with a cost, and costs decrease profits. It elaborates that any social activities that a company should happen to engage in should either be commanded by law or if it maximizes shareholder value. Supporters of this theory defend it because they believe that it is efficient in generating wealth and achieving overall better economic performance of the company. However, according to Kotter and Heskett (1992), Kay (1993), and Hosmer (1995), maximizing shareholder value implies shortterm profits, rather than long-term profitability.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT

The need and demand for CSR increased after the financial crisis that hit in 2008, where calls for transparency, accountability and trust were raised (Chedrawi and Osta, 2017). However, most research about the connection between CSR practices and FP of firms was done in an international context while focusing on developed countries, such as the international banking sector context, US banks, Turkey, and Korea (Cho et al., 2019; Esteban-Sanchez et al., 2017; Gbadamosi, 2016; Taşkın, 2013). Moreover, these studies have resulted in mixed results about the type of association between CSR practices and FP (Friedman, 1970; Kraft and Hage, 1990).

Some scholars have determined a positive association between CSR and FP (Wu and Shen, 2013; Shen et al., 2016; Esteban-Sanchez et al., 2017), while other studies found a negative one (Scholtens and Dam, 2007; Chih et al., 2008; Fernández-Gago et al., 2016).

Aupperle et al. (1985) performed a study that hinted on the positive effect of CSR on profitability. Waddock and Graves (1997) studied 469 different firms by employing KLD ratings to measure CSR. KLD measures stand for 'Kinder, Lydenberg, Domini Research and Analytics', and they offer a clear view of the past and possible future performance in environmental terms. The results found that CSR is positively linked to past and future FP. Waddock and Graves (1997) found that when an organization's stakeholders believe that the company has good CSP, its reputation, image and trust among the main stakeholders' enhances, thus achieve better corporate FP. Equally, Hammond and Slocum (1996) recognized that CSR is able to increase the reputation and standing of the organization and decrease financial risks, which would in turn lower the chances of going bankrupt against those who do not engage in CSR.

According to Preston and O'bannon (1997), CSR can help in decreasing organizational costs, create stakeholder value and build internal capabilities, as well as being the prime mover in the sector at hand, which gives the company a competitive advantage over others. This competitive advantage can enhance the FP of the enterprise (Margolis et al., 2007). Additionally, CSR is able to aid the company with building positive relationships with its consumers, employing motivated employees, decrease organizational risks and increase positive word-of-mouth (Bird et al., 2007).

McWilliams and Siegel (2000), Orlitzky et al (2003) and Tsoutsoura (2004) determined that there exist a positive association between CSR and FP of organizations. Similarly, Simpson and Kohers (2002) found a positive relationship between CSR and FP using several US commercial banks as a sample. Godfrey (2005) found that organizations that show interest in philanthropy have higher shareholder value and thus better FP. Moreover, Hong and Kacperczyk (2009) conclude that there is a positive influence of engaging in the community on market-based performance. Nelling and Webb (2009) stated that there is a relationship between CSR and an organization's FP. They mentioned that the nature of the relationship in some cases is not determined, while in other cases it is a positive one where their stock market performance and firm investments improve.

Azad et al. (2013) investigated the impact of CSR on performance in banks in Bangladesh and found that CSR has a positive influence on the market price of the share. Likewise, Alafi and Hasonah (2012) found that the nature of relationship is a positive one. Similarly, Sarwar et al. (2012) concluded that banks in Bangladesh who engaged in CSR practices had a larger ROA than those who did not. Bolanle et al., (2012) found that engaging in CSR would provide better return in the long run for the bank, as there is a positive association between CSR expenditure and profitability. Similarly, Deng et al. (2013) stated that CSR activities maximize stakeholder value of the company. Wu and Shen (2013) found that banks who heavily participate in CSR deeds have better corporate FP in the case of strategic CSR policies. Similarly, Flammer (2015) found that a company engaging in CSR helped increase both its market share and share prices, thus achieving better FP. Likewise, Nollet et al. (2016) performed a study and concluded that there is a significant association between CSR practices and FP. Maqbool and Zameer (2017) found a positive relationship between CSR and FP of Indian banks. Simionescu and Dumitrescu (2018) found a positive association between CSR practices and corporation's FP. Siueia et al (2019) found a positive relationship between CSR and FP of banks in the Sub-Saharan African region. Galdeano et al (2019) also found a positive relationship between CSR and FP of Bahraini commercial banks. Likewise, Cho et al. (2019) found a positive relationship between CSR practices and FP, where the rate of total assets of companies observed improvement. Moreover, Lin et al. (2019) found a positive association between CSR and corporation's FP, where CSR practices were able to create value for the organization. Similarly, Cherian et al. (2019) found that socially responsible companies performed better in emerging economies. Moreover, Szegedi et al. (2020) found a positive relationship between CSR and accounting based economic performance but not the market based one. Furthermore, Ramzan et al (2021) found a positive association between CSR and Pakistani commercial bank's FP. The results of the study conducted by Ngyuen and Nguyen (2021) found a positive association between CSR and company FP, where CSR was able to positively impact company's ROA.

On the other hand, some studies show that CSR can negatively affect the corporate's FP (Friedman, 1970; Wright and Ferris, 1997; Brammer et al., 2006; Rahmawati and Dianita, 2011; Lioui and Sharma, 2012).

Wright and Ferris (1997) evaluated the effect of divestment on the stock market performance in South Africa as a representation to CSR. The study samples 116 different firms in several different industries on the scope of ten years, and the results show that the share prices of these companies have declined. These results show that non-economic burdens can impact strategies that are related to the managerial level, rather than objectives related to value increasing. Equally, Cordeiro and Sarkis (1997) conducted a study using 523 US companies, and the results show a negative association between activism related to environmental concerns and the earnings per share. Their study concluded that being involved in CSR activities leads to incurring costs, and these costs result in competitive weakness. Additionally, Caulkin (2002) stated that some cynics indict that CSR is used to put up a good front for corporations, while keeping their immoral activities and behavior hidden from the public. Moon (2002) considered that inspiration for CSR is controlled by self-interest, regardless if these actions' intention revolves around business or if they are solely for charitable concerns. Moreover, Hemingway and Maclagan (2004) stated that CSR activities are utilized to scheme for un-lawful activities by management. Brammer et al. (2006) argue that engrossment in the local society is negatively related with company's performance.

Furthermore, the neutral point-of-view dictates that CSR does not have any association to the corporate FP. It considers that both pillars are mutually exclusive and any relationship between them is coincidental. Ullmann (1985) argues that there exist too many variables, which makes it hard to study the nature of the relationship between CSR and corporate FP. Kraft and Hage (1990) conducted a study on 82 different enterprises as a sample; the outcomes indicate no relationship between community service and profit goals, workflow continuity, and low price. Abbott and Monsen (1979), as well as Griffin and Mahon (1997) reached the same results in their studies. McWilliams and Siegel (2000) examined 524 companies throughout six consecutive years, and the findings initially disclosed a positive relationship between CSR and corporate FP, but when the model was scrutinized by research and development it showed a neutral relationship between CSR and corporate FP. Furthermore, Galema et al. (2008) report that the influence of involvement in the society over FP of firms is insignificant. Le Doan Minh Duc et al. (2018) found no significant association between the CSR practices and corporations' FP.

It is mentioned that the reasons for having mixed results are because CSR dimensions are vague and because of employing different performance measurement when performing the examinations (Wood and Jones, 1995; Margolis and Walsh, 2003). Using different dimensions to measure CSR can also result in different conclusions. Moreover, it is reported that CSR enhanced organization's performance when Tobin's Q were employed. However, when return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE) were utilized to measure the organization's FP, it either reduced or did not have any effect on the firm's FP (Lee and Park, 2009; McGuire et al., 1988).

Despite the fact that there are diverse findings regarding the impact of CSR practices on the FP of corporations, CSR having a positive influence on the FP dominates the studies conducted (Alshehhi et al., 2018). Keeping the literature review in mind, and as interest in CSR in ever growing world-wide, and as research about CSR in banks in general, and the MENA banks in particular is scarce, the following hypotheses were developed:

- H₁: There is a significant positive relationship between CSR practices in the environment and the financial performance of banks in the MENA region.
- H₂: There is a significant positive relationship between CSR practices in the human resources and the financial performance of banks in the MENA region.
- H₃: There is a significant positive relationship between CSR practices in products and consumers, and the financial performance of banks in the MENA region.
- H₄: There is a significant positive relationship between CSR practices in the community and the financial performance of banks in the MENA region.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

4.1 Sample Selection and Data Collection

This research investigates the influence of CSR practices on the FP of 81 banks functioning in seven countries in the MENA region. The sampling method employed is a non-probability sampling methodology with non-random selection because it is cost-effective and efficient. The convenience sampling was utilized, where a sample is selected from the population due to the fact that they were conveniently available and easily accessible to the researcher (Marczyk et al., 2021). The availability of MENA region commercial banks with the necessary reports containing CSR practices disclosure were chosen to be part of the sample, which displays the employment of non-probability sampling and convenience method. The sample included 14 Lebanese banks, 10 Kuwaiti banks, 11 Jordanian banks, 13 Qatari banks, 12 banks in the UAE, 10 Bahraini banks, and 11 Tunisian banks.

This research relies on a quantitative approach, where measurements and analysis of ratios are employed. Collection and methods of quantitative data involve numerical comparisons and numerical conclusions, which are later reported using statistical analysis, correlation and regression processes. The data provided are quantitative and objective in nature and the results can be easily understood (Kuan, 2018).

Thus, 81 different reports were examined. The two primary criteria for choosing these banks are that these banks have official websites and they have published the CSR report for the year 2018. Content analysis was used to collect data regarding CSR practices, where disclosures in CSR report and annual report of each bank were investigated. The relevant information regarding the FP was also acquired from the financial statements of the annual reports of each bank.

4.2 Measurement of Variables

4.2.1 Dependent Variable

The dependent variable in this research is the FP of the MENA banks. Going over previous literature, the most suitable measure for the FP of banks would be accounting based measures (Moore and Spence, 2006). ROA is considered to be a key ratio that indicates the profitability of a bank, and it examines the ability of bank management to produce income through the assets possessed by the bank. Therefore, it can be said that it evaluates management's efficiency in utilizing the resources it has to generate income (Moore and Spence, 2006). Thus, in this research, FP was measured by ROA. It can be computed by dividing the net profit over the average of total assets of the bank (Simpson and Kohers, 2002). In order to examine the reliability of the measurement between raters, inter-rater reliability coefficient Krippendorff's alpha was employed in this research. The value of Krippendorff's alpha was equal to 0.88, which is greater than the minimum required 0.7. Thus, it can be said that the index is considered to be reliable (Mahboub, 2017).

4.2.2 Independent Variables

This research employs the content analysis of CSR reports, sustainability reports, as well as annual reports issued by the MENA banks on their official websites, as it is considered to be the most dominant method used in the existing literature (Taskin, 2015; Matuszaka and Róžańska, 2017; Maqbool and Zameer, 2017; Bagh et al., 2017). The CSR index in this research was developed by Matuszaka and Róžańska (2017), who in turn reviewed the various literature related to the association between CSR practices and FP of banks. Accordingly, four dimensions relating to CSR practices have been chosen, namely: the ENV, HR, PC and CI. A scale of 1 and 0 was utilized to indicate the presence or absence of the item at hand.

CSR Practice Index = Number of CSR practices implemented by a bank/Total number of CSR items.

$$CSR\ Practices\ index = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i / n$$

Where x_{i-1} indicates that if 1 exists then the item i is disclosed in examined report, and if 0 was recorded then the item i is not revealed in the report. Additionally, n is the total number of items.

4.2.3 Control Variables

This research includes two different control variables that can affect the FP of the banks, and these control variables are considered to be the size and the risk of the banks (Matuszaka and Rózańska, 2017). Aiming to evaluate the link between CSR practices and FP of banks, and to mitigate the potential bias resulting from bank's FP, the control variables are added to the regression model.

In compliance with Wahba and Elsayed (2015), size is used by measuring the amount of overall assets possessed by bank. However, the size was standardized by natural logarithm. Financial leverage is utilized as a substitute for risk (Waddock and Graves, 1997). It was computed by dividing the total debt over the total equity (Matuszaka and Rózańska, 2017).

5. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

5.1 Descriptive Statistics

This section presents the descriptive statistics about the minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation (SD) of all variables included in the research (Table 1).

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of the Variables

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
ROA	.0004	.099	.0138	.0127
LEV	1	14	8.58	3.037
ENV	.00	.90	.319	.254
HR	.00	1.00	.512	.215
PC	.17	.83	.592	.180
CI	.00	1.00	.753	.253
LogSize	3.90	10.52	7.960	1.613

Source: SPSS 20 Outputs

The minimum value of ROA is 0.0004, while the maximum ROA value is 0.099. The mean for ROA is 0.0138 with a SD of 0.0127. This suggests that on average, the banks are able to generate profits through their assets by about 1.38%.

The leverage's minimum value is 1% while its maximum value is 14%. Leverage's SD is 3.037%. Its mean is 8.58%, which suggests that banks have an average of 8.58% of equity as total liabilities. Moreover, this result supports Saunders and Cornett's (2008) claim regarding banks being naturally risky corporations.

The minimum value of bank size is 3.9 and the maximum value is 10.52. The mean is 7.96, and the SD is 1.613. This implies that the average total assets possessed by the banks is 7.96%.

The CSR practices in ENV have a minimum of zero, and a maximum of 0.9. The SD is 0.254, while the mean value is 0.319. This implies that on average, the banks were not able to satisfy half of the ENV items, as the average CSR practices in ENV equals to 31.9%.

The minimum value of CSR practices in HR is zero, while the maximum value is one. The SD is 0.215, and the mean value is 0.512. This implies that on average, the banks were able to satisfy almost half of the HR items (51.2%).

The minimum value of CSR practices in PC score is 0.17, and the maximum value is 0.83. The mean score is 0.592 and the SD is 0.18. This implies that all banks were able to achieve more than half of the PC items (59.2%).

The minimum value of CSR practices in CI is zero, while the maximum value is one. The mean is 0.753, while the SD is 0.253. This implies that on average, the banks were able to achieve more than half of the CI items (75.3%).

5.2 Correlation Analysis

Correlations were used in this research, as they offer information about the type and intensity of the relationships between two or more variables, which are basic but useful measures for relationships (Marczyk et al., 2021).

Table (2) shows a non-significant relationship with a positive correlation between ROA and CSR practices in the ENV ($r=0.048$, $p\text{-value}>5\%$). Furthermore, there is a significant relationship with a low positive correlation between ROA and CSR practices in PC ($r=0.296$, $p\text{-value}<5\%$). It also shows a non-significant relationship with a high positive correlation between ROA and CSR practices in CI ($r=0.099$, $p\text{-value}>5\%$). Additionally, there is a non-significant relationship with a high positive correlation between ROA and bank size ($r=0.065$, $p\text{-value}>5\%$). Moreover, there is a non-significant relationship with a low negative correlation between ROA and leverage ($r=-0.114$, $p\text{-value}>5\%$).

In order to evaluate multi-collinearity, variance inflation factor (VIF) has been used. Based on the collinearity statistics, the VIF value of all variable ranged from 1.069 to 1.590. In fact, all VIF values are below 10, thus there aren't any multicollinearity problems (Chatterjee and Price, 1991; Midi and Bagheri, 2010, Mahboub, 2021).

Table 2: Correlation Analysis and Variance Inflation Factor

	ROA	ENV	HR	PC	CI	LogSize	LEV	VIF
ROA	1							
ENV	.048	1						1.590
HR	.253*	.244*	1					1.221
PC	.296**	.259*	.278*	1				1.146
CI	.099	.236*	.102	.014	1			1.069
LogSize	.065	.556**	.137	.200	.154	1		1.458
LEV	-.114	.053	.302**	.129	.068	.010	1	1.108

Source: SPSS (Version 20) Outputs

5.3 Multiple Regression Analysis

In order to evaluate the impact of the independent variables on the dependent variable, multiple regression analysis was used. The model developed by Matuszaka and Róžańska (2017) was used, but was slightly altered. The model is as follows:

$$ROA_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ENV_i + \beta_2 HR_i + \beta_3 PC_i + \beta_4 CI_i + \beta_5 LogSize_i + \beta_6 Leverage_i + \epsilon_i$$

Where ROA_i is the average return on assets of bank i , the $LogSize_i$ is the natural logarithm of aggregate assets of bank i , $Leverage_i$ is the leverage ratio computed of bank i , CSR_{i-1} is the CSR index calculated, β_0 is an intercept, $\beta_1 \dots \beta_4$ is a vector of exogenous variables and observations, ϵ is a random component (errors), and $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ is the index of bank.

Table (3) shows that the adjusted R square equals 0.113. This implies that 11.3% of the variation in the dependent variable (FP of the banks) can be interpreted by the variation of independent variables (CSR practices in the ENV, HR, PC and CI).

Table 3: Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.424 ^a	.180	.113	.011987271
a. Predictors: (Constant), LogSize, LEV, CI, PC, HR, ENV				
b. Dependent Variable: ROA				

Source: SPSS (Version 20) Outputs

Table (4) displays the ANOVA test, which specifies that the regression model as a whole is both fit and significant ($f=2.707$; $p\text{-value}=0.02$).

Table 4: ANOVA

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
1	Regression	.002	6	.000	2.707	.020 ^b
	Residual	.011	74	.000		
	Total	.013	80			
a. Dependent Variable: ROA						
b. Predictors: (Constant), LogSize, LEV, CI, PC, HR, ENV						

Source: SPSS (Version 20) Outputs.

Table (5) displays the coefficients of each variable, beta, t, and P-value as follow:

Table 5: Coefficients

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	Constant	-.001	.009		-.103	.918
	ENV	-.006	.007	-.113	-.851	.398
	HR	.015	.007	.258	2.222	.029
	PC	.019	.008	.276	2.452	.017
	CI	.005	.005	.108	.988	.326
	LogSize	.000	.001	.023	.183	.855
	LEV	-.001	.000	-.229	-2.070	.042
a. Dependent Variable: ROA						

Source: SPSS (Version 20) Outputs

Table (5) shows that CSR practices in the ENV has an insignificant association with ROA (Beta= -0.006, $t= -0.851$, $p\text{-value}=0.398>5\%$). Thus, H₁₁ is not supported. This result is confirmed with Deegan (2004), Gonzalez-Benito and Gonzalez- Benito (2005), Cormier and Magnan (2007), Moneva and Cuellar (2009), Jacobs et al. (2010), and Plumlee et al. (2010) who found no association between CSR practices in ENV and FP. This result contradicts previous studies who found a significant relationship between CSR practices in ENV and FP. In fact some found a positive association between CSR practices in ENV (Guenster et al., 2011; Nakao et al., 2007; Murphy, 2002; Oba et al., 2012), while others found a negative association between CSR practices in ENV and FP (Barth and McNichols, 1994; Blaconiere and Northcut, 1997; Cormier and Magnan, 1997; Hughes, 2000; Berla, 2007; Filbeck and Gorman, 2004; Su et al., 2020).

The outcomes also show that CSR practices in HR has a positive significant association with ROA (Beta=0.015, $t=2.222$, $p\text{-value}=0.029<5\%$). This means that both variables (CSR practices in HR and ROA) move in the same direction. This means that when banks, and more specifically bank management, employ appropriate HR practices in the workplace, the more ROA they have. Thus, H₁₂ is supported. This proves that when bank managements are highly sociable and engage in suitable HR practices, their financial performance gets positively impacted. This can be attributed to the fact that when bank management are highly sociably responsible, they are able to reap more benefits when compared to the costs of such practices (Soana, 2011), thus employees are by default more loyal and committed to their banks and employers (Kuvaas, 2008), thus profitability and financial performance increase. This result is confirmed by Quresh et al. (2010), Cavaco and Crifo (2013), AL-Zahrani and Almazari (2014), Ayanda et al. (2014), Altarawneh (2016), Esteban-Sanchez et al. (2017), Zaraket and Halawi (2017), and Bravo et al. (2017). In contrast, this result contradicts the results of Su et al. Al (2020).

Furthermore, the results reveal a positive significant relationship between CSR practices in PC and ROA (Beta= 0.019, $t= 2.452$, $p\text{-value}= 0.017<5\%$). In other words, the two variables (CSR practices PC and ROA) move in the same direction. Then, the more banks engage in CSR practices in PC, the larger their ROA's. Thus, H₁₃ is supported. This

proves that when banks engage in CSR practices related to PC, their financial performances get positively impacted. This result confirms the findings of Fornell et al. (1996), Allouche and Laroche (2005), Margolis et al. (2007), Green and Peloza (2011), Loureiro et al. (2012) and Alafi and Hasonah (2012). On the other hand, this result opposes Esteban-Sanchez et al. (2017) who concluded that there is a negative association between CSR practices in products and financial performance of banks in the international context. The results in this research can be explained by Omid and Shafiee (2018) who claim that corporations are impacted by consumer's behaviors and actions, either by observing the firm's CSR efforts or/and spreading word-of-mouth about the company at hand to their communities and social circles. This behavior can directly and indirectly affect the corporations' financial performances, thus investing in CSR practices in PC has a crucial role in the survival of companies.

Moreover, the results infers an insignificant association between CSR practices in CI and ROA (Beta= 0.005, $t = 0.988$, $p\text{-value} = 0.326 > 5\%$). Thus, H14 is not supported. This result is confirmed by Wang et al (2008). This result contradicts Su et al. (2020), Saleh et al. (2011), Zhou et al., 2015 and Simpson and Kohers (2002), who found a significant positive association between CSR practices in CI and FP of businesses. Barnett and Salomon (2006) who explained that altruistic activities and good social relationships with corporation's local communities can aid in amending the negative image a corporation may have, and thus attracting investors and achieving good FP. The result also contradicts Seifert et al. (2004), Fernández-Gago et al. (2016), Esteban-Sanchez (2017), and Inoue and Lee (2011), who found a negative significant association between CSR practices in CI and ROA.

Besides, the results demonstrated an insignificant association between bank size and ROA (Beta=0.000, $t = 0.183$, $p\text{-value} = 0.855 > 5\%$). This result confirms the results of Heffernan and Fu (2010), while it contradicts the findings of Pervan and Višić (2012) who have determined a significant positive, but weak, relationship between organization size and firm performance. The findings also contradicts the results of Matuszaka and Róžańska (2017) who found a significant negative association between bank size and ROA in their study on the Polish banking sector.

Additionally, the results revealed a significant negative association between leverage and ROA (Beta= -0.001, $t = -2.070$, $p\text{-value} = 0.042 < 5\%$). This means that both variables (leverage and ROA) move in opposite directions. This outcome authenticates the results of Ibhagui et al. (2018) who found a negative association between leverage and the financial performance. This result contradicts the results in the study conducted by Matuszaka and Róžańska (2017) on the Polish banking sector.

6. CONCLUSIONS

This research aims to investigate the effect of CSR practices on the FP of 81 MENA banks for the year 2018. These CSR practices covered four different areas: the ENV, HR, PC and CI. The evaluation of the type of association between CSR practices and FP is vital, as scoring superior FP is the primary goal of any organization. With the aim of successfully achieving the intended objective of the research, a checklist with 29 different items was used to evaluate the CSR practices index that was adopted from Matuszaka and Róžańska (2017). By utilizing multiple regression analysis, the results suggest that CSR practices in HR and PC do impact the FP of banks. However, this research finds no support of CSR practices in the ENV CI to be associated with FP of banks operating in the MENA region. Therefore, CSR practices in HR and PC can be considered as the most vital CSR practices that can successfully impact FP of banks. Consequently, MENA region banks should focus on these particular CSR practices. Bank managements and HR departments are encouraged to maintain a diverse labor force, provide proper training and development for all staff members, offer benefits and incentives, ensure their well-being and security in the workplace, and provide and maintain a friendly overall company environment at all times. These actions can increase productivity and FP of banks. Moreover, banks should tailor their products and services to be suitable to all of their customers in order to maintain the consumer's level of satisfaction. Meeting clients expectations, and ensuring that all of their diverse consumers are able to fully comprehend the services they are being offered, as well as having their questions, complaints and suggestions taken into consideration can create a positive relationship with clients. Satisfying clients can directly positively impacts the FP of banks, as it ensures good word-of-mouth (Bird et al., 2007; Omid and Shafiee, 2018).

Therefore, the findings contribute to the academicians who seek out to dig further in the area of this research, the investors to make the appropriate investment decisions, bank managements and policy makers to encourage the establishment of superior rules and regulations.

This research has some limitations. The first one can be considered the relatively small sample used. Thus, a bigger sample can be used in future studies for accuracy and precision purposes. The second limitation arises from the control variables used in this research. The literature has consisted of numerous different control variables (i.e. income growth, board size, firm age) (Mallin et al, 2014), and some researches have used more than two control variables. Hence, future research can choose other control variables, perhaps more than two control variables for precision purposes. Moreover, the measurements of both the dependent and independent variables could also pose a limitation. This research has utilized accounting-based indicators to measure the dependent variable (FP of MENA banks) which is the ROA. However, other studies conducted have employed market-based ratios. As for measurement of CSR practices, content analysis was used and CSR practice index were utilized, which depends on the judgement of the researcher. Other researches have used different ways to evaluate CSR as there isn't single correct way to measure it. For instance, some have used surveys and questionnaires, spending measures and ratings. Hence, future research can use a combination of these tools to ensure more accurate results. Finally, only four different areas in CSR practices have been investigated in this research, thus future research can examine other areas related to CSR practices, for example: the supplier, shareholder, competitor and manager dimensions (Szegedi et al., 2020).

This research spreads awareness about CSR practices and its influence on corporate FP while emphasizing on the MENA banking sector. It adds to existing literature found on the MENA banking sector, and corporations aiming to integrate CSR in their business models. Moreover, finance and accounting scholars, as well as any researcher aiming to conduct a study similar to this one will be able to benefit from the results, conclusions and facts included in this research. Furthermore, this research can be beneficial to bankers as it sheds light on the influence of CSR practices over FP of the banks, where it helps them understand CSR's effect on the profitability and overall FP. Corporate managers and decision-makers in banks can benefit greatly from this research as it helps to direct them in their resource allocation responsibilities and obligations. In addition, investors can also benefit from this research, as it can help them explore which bank is involved in CSR practices, as well as which bank is involved in strategic CSR investments to improve their FP.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, W. F., & Mosen, R. J. (1979). On the measurement of corporate social responsibility: Self-reported disclosures as a method of measuring corporate social involvement. *Academy of management journal*, 22(3), 501-515.
- Alafi, K., & Hasoneh, A. B. (2012). Corporate social responsibility associated with customer satisfaction and financial performance a case study with Housing Banks in Jordan. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 2(15), 102-115.
- Allard, H. M. (2018). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance in the healthcare Industry (Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University).
- Allouche, J., & Laroche, P. (2005). A meta-analytical investigation of the relationship between corporate social and financial performance. *Revue de gestion des ressources humaines*, (57), 18.
- Alshehhi, A., Nobanee, H., & Khare, N. (2018). The impact of sustainability practices on corporate financial performance: Literature trends and future research potential. *Sustainability*, 10(2), 494.
- Altarawneh, I. I. (2016). Strategic human resources management and its impact on performance: the case from Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Business Management & Economic Research*, 7(1), 486-503.
- Altman, B. W., & Vidaver-Cohen, D. (2000). A framework for understanding corporate citizenship. *Business and Society Review-Boston and New York*, 105(1), 1-8.
- Al-Zahrani, A. A., & Almazari, A. A. (2014). The impact of affective human resources management practices on the financial performance of the Saudi Banks. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, 3(1), 346-356.

- Aupperle, K. E., Carroll, A. B., & Hatfield, J. D. (1985). An empirical examination of the relationship between corporate social responsibility and profitability. *Academy of management Journal*, 28(2), 446-463.
- Ayanda, A. M., Lawal, O. R., & Ben-Bernard, P. (2014). Effects of human resource management practices on financial performance of banks. *Transnational journal of Science and Technology*, 4(2), 1-16.
- Azad, M. A. K., Raihan, M. Z., & Bhuiyan, M. Z. H. (2013). Assessment of CSR Performances in Some Selected Commercial Banks in Bangladesh. *Global Disclosure of Economics and Business*, 2(2), 140-150.
- Azmeh, C. (2018). The effects of bank regulation on financial development in the Mena countries: the supporting role of supervision. *Iranian Economic Review*, 24(2), 333-352.
- Bagh, T., Khan, M. A., Azad, T., Saddique, S., & Khan, M. A. (2017). The corporate social responsibility and firms' financial performance: evidence from financial sector of Pakistan. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 7(2), 301.
- Bagmet, K. (2015). Social innovative initiatives of credit organizations in the Russian Federation. In *Social and economic innovations: trends, forecasts and perspectives*, 53-55. Publisher: Publishing House "AGRUS" (Stavropol).
- Barnett, M. L., & Salomon, R. M. (2006). Beyond dichotomy: The curvilinear relationship between social responsibility and financial performance. *Strategic management journal*, 27(11), 1101-1122.
- Barth, M. E., & McNichols, M. F. (1994). Estimation and market valuation of environmental liabilities relating to superfund sites. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 32, 177-209.
- Birch, D. (2002). Corporate citizenship in Australia: Some ups, some downs. *Journal of corporate citizenship*, 5, 73-84.
- Bird, R., Hall, A. D., Momentè, F., & Reggiani, F. (2007). What corporate social responsibility activities are valued by the market?. *Journal of business ethics*, 76(2), 189-206.
- Blacconiere, W. G., & Northcut, W. D. (1997). Environmental information and market reactions to environmental legislation. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*, 12(2), 149-178.
- Bolanle, A. B., Adebisi, S. O., & Muyideen, A. A. (2012). Corporate social responsibility and profitability of Nigeria banks-a causal relationship. *Research journal of finance and accounting*, 3(1), 6-17.
- Brammer, S. J., & Pavelin, S. (2006). Corporate reputation and social performance: The importance of fit. *Journal of management studies*, 43(3), 435-455.
- Brammer, S., Brooks, C., & Pavelin, S. (2006). Corporate social performance and stock returns: UK evidence from disaggregate measures. *Financial management*, 35(3), 97-116.
- Bravo, R., Buil, I., De Chernatony, L., & Martínez, E. (2017). Brand Identity Management and Corporate Social Responsibility: an analysis from employees' perspective in the banking sector. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 18(2), 241-257.
- Brown, T. J., & Dacin, P. A. (1997). The company and the product: Corporate associations and consumer product responses. *Journal of marketing*, 61(1), 68-84.
- Carè, R. (2018). Being a Sustainable Bank: The Case of Intesa Sanpaolo. In *Sustainable Banking* (131-147). Palgrave Pivot, Cham.
- Carroll, A. B. (1983). Corporate social responsibility: Will industry respond to cutbacks in social program funding? *Vital Speeches of the Day*, 49, 604-608.
- Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business horizons*, 34(4), 39-48.
- Caruana, R., & Chatzidakis, A. (2014). Consumer social responsibility (CnSR): Toward a multi-level, multi-agent conceptualization of the "other CSR". *Journal of Business Ethics*, 121(4), 577-592.
- Cavaco, S., & Crifo, P. (2013). The CSR-performance missing link: complementarity between environmental, social and business behaviors criteria (No. 2013-07). *Working Paper Ecole Poly technique*, 47(1), 1-30.
- Chatterjee, S., Price, B. (1991). *Regression analysis by example*. New York John Wiley.
- Chedrawi, C., & Osta, S. (2017). CSR in the banking sector: A legitimacy approach to the shareholders' and stakeholders' debate. *Marketing and Branding Research*, 4, 280-291.

- Cherian, J., Umar, M., Thu, P. A., Nguyen-Trang, T., Sial, M. S., & Khuong, N. V. (2019). Does corporate social responsibility affect the financial performance of the manufacturing sector? Evidence from an emerging economy. *Sustainability*, 11(4), 1182.
- Chih, H. L., Shen, C. H., & Kang, F. C. (2008). Corporate social responsibility, investor protection, and earnings management: Some international evidence. *Journal of business ethics*, 79(1), 179-198.
- Cho, S. J., Chung, C. Y., & Young, J. (2019). Study on the Relationship between CSR and Financial Performance. *Sustainability*, 11(2), 1-26.
- Cordeiro, J. J., & Sarkis, J. (1997). Environmental proactivism and firm performance: evidence from security analyst earnings forecasts. *Business strategy and the environment*, 6(2), 104-114.
- Cormier, D., & Magnan, M. (1997). Investors' assessment of implicit environmental liabilities: An empirical investigation. *Journal of accounting and public policy*, 16(2), 215-241.
- Cormier, D., & Magnan, M. (2007). The revisited contribution of environmental reporting to investors' valuation of a firm's earnings: An international perspective. *Ecological economics*, 62(3), 613-626.
- Cuesta-González, M., Muñoz-Torres, M., & Fernández-Izquierdo, M. Á. (2006). Analysis of Social Performance in the Spanish Financial Industry Through Public Data. A Proposal. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 69(3), 289-304.
- Deegan, C. (2004). Environmental disclosures and share prices- a discussion about efforts to study this relationship. *Accounting Forum*, 28(1), 87-97.
- Deng, X., Kang, J. K., & Low, B. S. (2013). Corporate social responsibility and stakeholder value maximization: Evidence from mergers. *Journal of financial Economics*, 110(1), 87-109.
- Esteban-Sanchez, P., de la Cuesta-Gonzalez, M., & Paredes-Gazquez, J. D. (2017). Corporate social performance and its relation with corporate financial performance: International evidence in the banking industry. *Journal of cleaner production*, 162, 1102-1110.
- European Commission, (2001). Directorate-General for Employment. Promoting a European Framework for Corporate Social Responsibility: Green Paper. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities. 4-30.
- Evan, W. M., & Freeman, R. E. (1988). A stakeholder theory of the modern corporation: Kantian capitalism.
- Fernández-Gago, R., Cabeza-García, L., & Nieto, M. (2016). Corporate social responsibility, board of directors, and firm performance: an analysis of their relationships. *Review of Managerial Science*, 10(1), 85-104.
- Filbeck, G., & Gorman, R. F. (2004). The relationship between the environmental and financial performance of public utilities. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 29(2), 137-157.
- Flammer, C. (2015). Does corporate social responsibility lead to superior financial performance? A regression discontinuity approach. *Management Science*, 61(11), 2549-2568.
- Fontaine, M. (2013). Corporate social responsibility and sustainability: the new bottom line?. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 4(4). 110-119.
- Fornell, C., Johnson, M. D., Anderson, E. W., Cha, J., & Bryant, B. E. (1996). The American customer satisfaction index: nature, purpose, and findings. *Journal of marketing*, 60(4), 7-18.
- Frank, D. H., & Obloj, T. (2014). Firm-specific human capital, organizational incentives, and agency costs: Evidence from retail banking. *Strategic Management Journal*, 35(9), 1279-1301.
- Freeman, R.E., (1984). *Strategic management: a stakeholder approach*. Pitman: Boston, MA.
- Friedman, M., (1970). The Social Responsibility of business is to increase its profits. *New York Times*, September 13th, 122-126.
- Galdeano, D., Ahmed, U., Fati, M., Rehan, R., & Ahmed, A. J. M. S. L. (2019). Financial performance and corporate social responsibility in the banking sector of Bahrain: Can engagement moderate?. *Management Science Letters*, 9(10), 1529-1542.
- Galema, R., Plantinga, A., & Scholtens, B. (2008). The stocks at stake: Return and risk in socially responsible investment. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 32(12), 2646-2654.
- Gbadamosi, W. A. (2016). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance of banks in the United States (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).
- Godfrey, P. C. (2005). The relationship between corporate philanthropy and shareholder wealth: A risk management perspective. *Academy of management review*, 30(4), 777-798.
- Green, T., & Pelozo, J. (2011). How does corporate social responsibility create value for consumers?. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 28(1), 48-56.

- Greening, D. W., & Turban, D. B. (2000). Corporate social performance as a competitive advantage in attracting a quality workforce. *Business & society*, 39(3), 254-280.
- Griffin, J. J., & Mahon, J. F. (1997). The corporate social performance and corporate financial performance debate: Twenty-five years of incomparable research. *Business & society*, 36(1), 5-31.
- Grove, H., & Basilico, E. (2011). Major financial reporting frauds of the 21st century: Corporate governance and risk lessons learned. *Journal of Forensic and Investigative Accounting*, 3(2), 191-226.
- Gonzalez-Benito, J., & Gonzalez-Benito, O. (2005). Environmental proactivity and business performance: An empirical analysis. *Omega*, 33(1), 1-15.
- Guenster, N., Bauer, R., Derwall, J., & Koedijk, K. (2011). The economic value of corporate eco-efficiency. *European financial management*, 17(4), 679-704.
- Hammond, S. A., & Slocum, J. W. (1996). The impact of prior firm financial performance on subsequent corporate reputation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 15(2), 159-165.
- Heffernan, S. A., & Fu, X. (2010). Determinants of financial performance in Chinese banking. *Applied Financial Economics*, 20(20), 1585-1600.
- Hemingway, C. A., & MacLagan, P. W. (2004). Managers' personal values as drivers of corporate social responsibility. *Journal of business ethics*, 50(1), 33-44.
- Hong, H., & Kacperczyk, M. (2009). The price of sin: The effects of social norms on markets. *Journal of financial economics*, 93(1), 15-36.
- Hosmer, L. T. (1995). Trust: The connecting link between organizational theory and philosophical ethics. *Academy of management Review*, 20(2), 379-403.
- Hughes, K. E. (2000). The value relevance of nonfinancial measures of air pollution in the electric utility industry. *Accounting Review*, 75(2), 209-209.
- Hurley, R., Gong, X., & Waqar, A. (2014). Understanding the loss of trust in large banks. *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 32(5), 348-366.
- Huselid, M. A. (1995). The impact of human resource management practices on turnover, productivity, and corporate financial performance. *Academy of management journal*, 38(3), 635-672.
- Hwang, Y. I., & Chung, S. (2018). Market orientation, social responsibility, and performance in Korea's healthcare industry. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*, 11(4), 325-332.
- Ibhagui, O. W., & Olokoyo, F. O. (2018). Leverage and firm performance: New evidence on the role of firm size. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 45, 57-82.
- Inoue, Y., & Lee, S. (2011). Effects of different dimensions of corporate social responsibility on corporate financial performance in tourism-related industries. *Tourism Management*, 32(4), 790-804.
- Jacobs, B. W., Singhal, V. R., & Subramanian, R. (2010). An empirical investigation of environmental performance and the market value of the firm. *Journal of Operations Management*, 28(5), 430-441.
- Kang, K. H., Lee, S., & Huh, C. (2010). Impacts of positive and negative corporate social responsibility activities on company performance in the hospitality industry. *International journal of hospitality management*, 29(1), 72-82.
- Kay J.A, (1993). Foundations of Corporate Success. Oxford University Press.
- Kiliç, M., Kuzey, C., & Uyar, A. (2015). The impact of ownership and board structure on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) reporting in the Turkish banking industry. *Corporate Governance: The International journal of Business in Society*, 15(3), 357-374.
- Kotter, J.P. & Heskett, J.L. (1992), Corporate Culture and Performance, Macmillan, New York, NY.
- Kraft, K. L., & Hage, J. (1990). Strategy, social responsibility and implementation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 9(1), 11-19.
- Kuan, Y. (2018). Controversy Advertising: an Exploratory Study on Controversy Advertising and its Effects. *University Honors Theses*, Paper 55. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/>.
- Kuvaas, B. (2008). An exploration of how the employee–organization relationship affects the linkage between perception of developmental human resource practices and employee outcomes. *Journal of Management studies*, 45(1), 1-25.

- Lauesen, L. M. (2013). CSR in the aftermath of the financial crisis. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 9(4), 641-663.
- Le Doan Minh Duc, H. T., Thuy, X., Yen, N. T. H., & Tien, N. H. (2018). Corporate Social Responsibility and Corporate Financial Performance Case of Listed Vietnamese Companies. *Zarz adzanie*, 32, 251-265.
- Lee, S., & Park, S. Y. (2009). Do socially responsible activities help hotels and casinos achieve their financial goals?. *International journal of hospitality management*, 28(1), 105-112.
- Lee, J. H., Kang, Y. S., & Kim, S. S. (2018). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance in Korean retail firms. *The Journal of Distribution Science*, 16(5), 31-43.
- Li, Y., Liu, B., & Huan, T. C. T. (2019). Renewal or not? Consumer response to a renewed corporate social responsibility strategy: Evidence from the coffee shop industry. *Tourism Management*, 72, 170-179.
- Lin, L., Hung, P. H., Chou, D. W., & Lai, C. W. (2019). Financial performance and corporate social responsibility: Empirical evidence from Taiwan. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 24(1), 61-71.
- Lindgreen, A., & Swaen, V. (2010). Corporate Social Responsibility. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 1-7.
- Lioui, A., & Sharma, Z. (2012). Environmental corporate social responsibility and financial performance: Disentangling direct and indirect effects. *Ecological Economics*, 78, 100-111.
- Loureiro, S. M. C., Ruediger, K. H., & Demetris, V. (2012). Brand emotional connection and loyalty. *Journal of Brand Management*, 20(1), 13-27.
- Luo, X., & Bhattacharya, C. B. (2006). Corporate social responsibility, customer satisfaction, and market value. *Journal of marketing*, 70(4), 1-18.
- Mahboub, R. (2017). Main Determinants of Financial Reporting Quality in the Lebanese Banking Sector. *European Research Studies Journal*, 20(4B), 706-726.
- Mahboub, R. M. (2021). Factors Influencing outsourcing of Accounting Functions in Lebanese Small Medium-Sized Enterprises. *BAU Journal-Creative Sustainable Development*, 2(2), 10.
- Mallin, C., Farag, H., & Ow-Yong, K. (2014). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance in Islamic banks. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 103, 21-38.
- Maqbool, S., & Zameer, M. N. (2017). An empirical examination of the relationship between corporate social responsibility and profitability: Evidence from Indian commercial banks. *Pacific Business Review International*, 9(12), 39-47.
- Margolis, J. D., & Walsh, J. P. (2003). Misery loves companies: Rethinking social initiatives by business. *Administrative science quarterly*, 48(2), 268-305.
- Margolis, J. D., Elfenbein, H. A., & Walsh, J. P. (2007). Does it pay to be good? A meta analysis and redirection of research on the relationship between corporate social and financial performance. *Ann Arbor*, 1001, 48109-1234.
- Marczyk, G. R., DeMatteo, D., & Festinger, D. (2021). *Essentials of research design and methodology*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Martinuzzi, A., Kudlak, R., Faber, C., & Wiman, A. (2011). CSR activities and impacts of the retail sector. Research Institute for Managing Sustainability (RIMAS). *Working Papers*, 4, 1-26.
- Matuszak, Ł., & Różańska, E. (2017). An examination of the relationship between CSR disclosure and financial performance: The case of Polish banks. *Accounting and Management Information Systems*, 16(4), 522-533.
- McGuire, J. B., Sundgren, A., & Schneeweis, T. (1988). Corporate social responsibility and firm financial performance. *Academy of management Journal*, 31(4), 854-872.
- McWilliams, A., & Siegel, D. (2000). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance: correlation or misspecification?. *Strategic management journal*, 21(5), 603-609.
- Midi, H., & Bagheri, A. (2010). Robust multicollinearity diagnostic measure in collinear data set. In Proceedings of the 4th international conference on Applied mathematics, simulation, modelling, 138-142.
- Moon, J. (2002). The Social Responsibility of Business and New Governance. *Government and Opposition*, 37(3), 385-408.
- Moore, G., & Spence, L. (2006). Responsibility and Small Business. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 3(67), 219-226.

- Moneva, J. M., Bonilla-Priego, M. J., &Ortas, E. (2020).Corporate social responsibility and organisational performance in the tourism sector. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 28(6), 853-872.
- Moneva, J. M., & Cuellar, B. (2009).The value relevance of financial and non-financial environmental reporting.*Environmental and Resource Economics*, 44(3), 441-456.
- Moura-Leite, R., &Padgett, R. (2014). The effect of corporate social actions on organizational reputation. *Management Research Review*, 37(2), 167-185.
- Murphy, C. J. (2002). *The profitable correlation between environmental and financial performance: a review of the research. Light Green Advisors*, 1-18.
- Nakao, Y., Nakano, M., Amano, A., Kokubu, K., Matsumura, K., & Gemba, K. (2007). Corporate environmental and financial performances and the effects of information-based instruments of environmental policy in Japan. *International Journal of Environment and Sustainable Development*, 6(1), 95-112.
- Nelling, E., & Webb, E. (2009).Corporate social responsibility and financial performance: the “virtuous circle” revisited. *Review of Quantitative finance and accounting*, 32(2), 197-209.
- NGUYEN, C., & NGUYEN, L. (2021). The relationship between corporate social responsibility and financial performance: Empirical Evidence from Vietnam. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(8), 75-83.
- Nollet, J., Filis, G., & Mitrokostas, E. (2016).Corporate social responsibility and financial performance: A non-linear and disaggregated approach. *Economic Modelling*, 52, 400-407.
- Nyame-Asiamah, F., & Ghulam, S. (2019).The relationship between CSR activity and sales growth in the UK retailing sector. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 16(3), 387-401.
- Oba, V. C., Fodio, M. I., & Soje, B. (2012).The Value Relevance of Environmental Responsibility Information Disclosure in Nigeria.*Acta Universitatis Danubius. OEconomica*, 8 (6), 99-112.
- Okafor, A., Adeleye, B. N., & Adusei, M. (2021).Corporate social responsibility and financial performance: Evidence from US tech firms.*Journal of Cleaner Production*, 292, 126078.
- Omid, F., & Shafiee, M. M. (2018).The impact of corporate social responsibility on social performance, financial performance and customer reactions in the food industry. *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*, 17(2), 249-265.
- Orlitzky, M., Schmidt, F. L., & Rynes, S. L. (2003).Corporate social and financial performance: A meta-analysis. *Organization studies*, 24(3), 403-441.
- Pervan, M., & Višić, J. (2012).Influence of firm size on its business success. *Croatian Operational Research Review*, 3(1), 213-223.
- Preston, L. E., & O'bannon, D. P. (1997).The corporate social-financial performance relationship: A typology and analysis. *Business & Society*, 36(4), 419-429.
- Plumlee, M., Brown, D., Hayes, R. M., & Marshall, R. S. (2015).Voluntary environmental disclosure quality and firm value: Further evidence. *Journal of accounting and public policy*, 34(4), 336-361.
- Quresh, T. M., Akbar, A., Khan, M. A., Sheikh, R. A., & Hijazi, S. T. (2010).Do human resource management practices have an impact on financial performance of banks?.*African journal of business management*, 4(7), 1281-1288.
- RahmawatiM.Si., &Dianita, P. S. (2011).Analysis of the Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility on Financial Performance with Earnings Management as a Moderating Variable.*Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, 7(10), 1034-1045.
- Ramzan, M., Amin, M., & Abbas, M. (2021). How does corporate social responsibility affect financial performance, financial stability, and financial inclusion in the banking sector? Evidence from Pakistan.*Research in International Business and Finance*, 55, 101314.
- Rhou, Y., Singal, M., & Koh, Y. (2016).CSR and financial performance: The role of CSR awareness in the restaurant industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 57, 30-39.
- Saeidi, S. P., Sofian, S., Saeidi, P., Saeidi, S. P., & Saeidi, S. A. (2015). How does corporate social responsibility contribute to firm financial performance? The mediating role of competitive advantage, reputation, and customer satisfaction. *Journal of business research*, 68(2), 341-350.

- Saleh, M., Zulkifli, N., & Muhamad, R. (2011). Looking for evidence of the relationship between corporate social responsibility and corporate financial performance in an emerging market. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, 3(2), 165.
- Sarwar, A., Zahid I., & Ikram H. (2012). Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance linkage evidence from the Banking Sector of Bangladesh. *Journal of Organizational Management*, 1(1), 14-21.
- Scholtens, B. (2009). Corporate social responsibility in the international banking industry. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 86(2), 159-175.
- Scholtens, B., & Dam, L. (2007). Banking on the equator. Are banks that adopted the equator principles different from non-adopters?. *World Development*, 35(8), 1307-1328.
- Seifert, B., Morris, S. A., & Bartkus, B. R. (2004). Having, giving, and getting: Slack resources, corporate philanthropy, and firm financial performance. *Business & Society*, 43(2), 135-161.
- Sen, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Korschun, D. (2006). The role of corporate social responsibility in strengthening multiple stakeholder relationships: A field experiment. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 34(2), 158-166.
- Servaes, H., & Tamayo, A. (2013). The impact of corporate social responsibility on firm value: The role of customer awareness. *Management Science*, 59(5), 1045-1061.
- Shen, C. H., Wu, M. W., Chen, T. H., & Fang, H. (2016). To engage or not to engage in corporate social responsibility: Empirical evidence from global banking sector. *Economic Modelling*, 55, 207-225.
- Simpson, W. G., & Kohers, T. (2002). The link between corporate social and financial performance: Evidence from the banking industry. *Journal of business ethics*, 35(2), 97-109.
- Simionescu, L. N., & Dumitrescu, D. (2018). Empirical study towards corporate social responsibility practices and company financial performance. Evidence for companies listed on the Bucharest stock exchange. *Sustainability*, 10(9), 3141.
- Singal, M. (2014). Corporate social responsibility in the hospitality and tourism industry: do family control and financial condition matter?. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 36, 81-89.
- Sinthupundaja, J., Chiadamrong, N., Kohda, Y., & Thajchayapong, S. (2020). Influence of intra and inter-organisational relationships on CSR and their impact on a hospital's financial performance. *International Journal of Business Governance and Ethics*, 14(1), 78-95.
- Siueia, T. T., Wang, J., & Deladem, T. G. (2019). Corporate Social Responsibility and financial performance: A comparative study in the Sub-Saharan Africa banking sector. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 226, 658-668.
- Soana, M. G. (2011). The relationship between corporate social performance and corporate financial performance in the banking sector. *Journal of business ethics*, 104(1), 133-148.
- Su, R., Liu, C., & Teng, W. (2020). The heterogeneous effects of CSR dimensions on financial performance—a new approach for CSR measurement. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 21(4), 987-1009.
- Sweeney, L. (2009) A Study of Current Practice of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and an Examination of the Relationship Between CSR and Financial Performance Using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). *Doctoral Thesis. Dublin, Dublin Institute of Technology*. doi:10.21427/D79C7F
- Szegedi, K., Khan, Y., & Lentner, C. (2020). Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance: Evidence from Pakistani Listed Banks. *Sustainability*, 12(10), 1-19.
- Taskin, D. (2015). The relationship between CSR and banks' financial performance: Evidence from Turkey. *Journal of Yaşar University*, 10(39), 21-30.
- Tawfik, O. I., Kamar, S. H., & Bilal, Z. O. (2021). The Effect of Sustainable Dimensions on the Financial Performance of Commercial Banks: A Comparative Study in Emerging Markets. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(3), 1121-1133.
- Theodoulidis, B., Diaz, D., Crotto, F., & Rancati, E. (2017). Exploring corporate social responsibility and financial performance through stakeholder theory in the tourism industries. *Tourism Management*, 62, 173-188.
- Torugsa, N. A., O'Donohue, W., & Hecker, R. (2012). Capabilities, proactive CSR and financial performance in SMEs: Empirical evidence from an Australian manufacturing industry sector. *Journal of business ethics*, 109(4), 483-500.

- Tsoutsoura, M., (2004).Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance. UC Berkeley: Center for Responsible Business. Retrieved from: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/111799p2>.
- Ullmann, A. A. (1985). Data in search of a theory: A critical examination of the relationships among social performance, social disclosure, and economic performance of US firms. *Academy of management review*, 10(3), 540-557.
- Uyar, A., Kilic, M., Koseoglu, M. A., Kuzey, C., &Karaman, A. S. (2020). The link among board characteristics, corporate social responsibility performance, and financial performance: Evidence from the hospitality and tourism industry. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 35, 100714.
- Waddock, S. A., & Graves, S. B. (1997).The corporate social performance–financial performance link.*Strategic management journal*, 18(4), 303-319.
- Wahba, H., & Elsayed, K. (2015).The mediating effect of financial performance on the relationship between social responsibility and ownership structure. *Future Business Journal*, 1(1-2), 1-12.
- Wang, H., Choi, J., & Li, J. (2008). Too little or too much? Untangling the relationship between corporate philanthropy and firm financial performance. *Organization Science*, 19(1), 143-159.
- Windsor, D. (2001). The future of corporate social responsibility.*The international journal of organizational analysis*, 9(3), 225-256.
- Wood, D. J. (1991). Corporate social performance revisited. *Academy of management review*, 16(4), 691-718.
- Wood, D. J., & Jones, R. E. (1995). Stakeholder mismatching: A theoretical problem in empirical research on corporate social performance. *The International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 3(3), 229–267.
- Wright, P., & Ferris, S. P. (1997). Agency conflict and corporate strategy: The effect of divestment on corporate value. *Strategic management journal*, 18(1), 77-83.
- Wu, M. W., & Shen, C. H. (2013). Corporate social responsibility in the banking industry: Motives and financial performance. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 37(9), 3529-3547.
- Zaraket, W. S., & Halawi, A. (2017).The effects of HRM practices on organizational performance in Lebanese banks. *Journal for Global Business Advancement*, 10(1), 62-88.
- Zhou, S. S., Pan, W. H., & Wang, Z. (2015). An Empirical Examination of the Link between Corporate Philanthropy and Financial Performance Under the China Context. *International Journal of Organizational Innovation*, 7(3), 76-161.
- Zur, A., Evans, J., & Bridson, K. (2008). CSR orientation and organizational performance in the Australian retail industry. In ANZMAC 2008: Australian and New Zealand Marketing Academy Conference 2008: Marketing: shifting the focus from mainstream to offbeat, 1-8. Promaco Conventions.